

Letter to Judge Hayes by Pro se litigant Mary Jean Ziska to be attached to E-Filed Documents:  
Tuesday February 21st 2017 @ 12:04PM

- A. Correspondence E-Filed: #52656353 part 1 of 2 pages 1-24
- B. Correspondence E-filed: #52656353 part 2 of 2 pages 25-29

Dear Honorable Judge Hugh Hayes,

Tuesday February 21st 2017 @ 12:04PM

Enclosed with this cover letter is the correspondence/document E-Filed 2/17/17 @2:02pm though an E-Filing portal created by and for Mary Jean Ziska as pro se litigant/ward and defendant in the foreclosure case: 2015-CA-0001170. I E-filed #52656353 both part 1 of 2 and part 2 of 2 consisting of a total of 29 pages and read a portion of it in the hearing before your honor on Fri. February 17<sup>th</sup> 2017 (court room 4-D) at 3:15PM. I apologize for not having additional copies to give to your honor at the court hearing as I was reading from the only printed copy on 2/17/17. I am submitting this cover letter with a copy of the E-filed correspondence to remedy and provide your honor with a copy of the correspondence.

I am extremely appreciative of your honors ability to seek justice, honesty, integrity and fairness in both handling the Guardianship Case and the Foreclosure Case. Whether or not the intension of the limited guardianship was to help, the entire experience has only done harm. As you noted, the intermingling of both the foreclosure and guardianship have made for a very complicated case that will definitely create important precedent within the Guardianship Statutes (744)and subsequent laws/the boundaries of ward and guardian/ the due diligence by attorneys and authorities and the necessity for ultimate transparency and accountability throughout the guardianship is apparent by the neglect and abuses which have occurred and are devastating in the actions and consequences that have occurred.

I am thanking you for your kind attention and consideration to this letter in order to seek justice and fairness by allowing a more thorough investigation of the limited- guardianship and the damage done by such a limited –guardianship to the ward’s reputation, finances, credit, and home ownership. The dates of the interim- guardianship and the misuse and abuse of such a limited- Guardianship to establish control and manipulation whether designated by the limited guardian or suggested by the attorney of record hired by the limited guardian have been extensive. These conflicts caused by the limited communication between both the probate/guardianship department and the civil court department/ proceedings has created a series of devastating events.

Within the guardianship/probate department, paperwork has/had been submitted concerning the allegations made by the limited guardian that were factually untrue. Submitted within these documents are:

- A. The history of emails requesting freedom from the limited- guardianship of both Patrick Weber, and Marion Gregory.

- B. The history of bank accounts and bills paid for the past 7 years could be provided and specifically one full years' worth of bills paid on time and in full included in filing through probate paperwork.
- C. The petition to compel filed by Larry Piviach to stop further confiscation of finances meant to be used for living expenses,
- D. The documentation by 5<sup>th</sup> 3<sup>rd</sup> bank's investigation on the fraudulent bank account established by Marion Gregory based on the misinformation that I was under 18 years old, that I was both incompetent and incapacitated and the eventual closing of the 5<sup>th</sup> 3<sup>rd</sup> bank account and return of only a portion of the money that had been stolen and placed into this account.
- E. The objections to both Patrick Weber and Marion Gregory as Limited-Guardian and their continued requests to the control they believed they had by such a title and power.

Within this letter I would also like to establish that while both Marion Gregory, and Patrick Weber were claiming their untruths about my life, my abilities and my financial status, I continued to live my life and would have been allowed to have success had it not been for the damage done by the guardianship that was established to help and instead only harmed by life. In the same time period where on record they were claiming my incompetence and incapacity: I was vice president of philanthropy for my sorority alumni KAO ( Kappa Alpha Theta ). I worked for two years for Marion Gregory's business( A TENDER LOVING CARE SERVICE ) creating websites, and contract for exclusive sitting for the Waldorff Astoria and other such contracts which we presented to all the major hotels. I wrote and received a grant for starting an etiquette school and also created a website that is still in existence today.

I was never incompetent and incapacitated, or any of the many lies to change their misdiagnosis of what was "wrong" with me so that the limited guardianship could be used to gain access to bank accounts where in 2006 Marion wrote checks from my checking account. Following this with the creation of an indigent ward by depleting \$15,000.00 in petty cash I had saved and I kept for business expenses. In truth, the establishment of this limited -guardianship has proven to be very profitable to everyone but me- Mary Jean Ziska.

This limited guardianship has continued to harm my credit. In 2006, I cancelled my only two credit cards and owned by car with no outstanding car payments. These actions along with hard work and saving money, allowed me to have a 728 credit score. This credit score was destroyed with the establishment of the fraudulent bankruptcies(2014) by Linda Yerger and Marion Gregory for a chapter 13 and chapter 7. I contested this action by the limited- guardian and attempted to contact the proper authorities to have them removed or stopped. The guardianship again stopped my attempt for any type of justice and I was both ignored and dismissed in my request, as the limited guardian and her lawyer were allowed to continue with their actions and with no consequences for their actions, except for my credit being destroyed. This bankruptcy needs to still be removed and continues to disrupt my credit and ability to gain finances for future legal expenses when I am able to hire my own lawyer to protect my interests.

The interim limited guardianship has in your opinion, the need for further investigation as to the dates and times established, the boundaries and involvement of participants, and the actions and legal advice from counsel especially concerning the entire foreclosure case. The participants in the litigation (Marion Gregory and Linda Yerger) eliminating the defendant Mary Jean Ziska from any involvement or knowledge of the case, the paperwork all addressed to only Defendant Mary Jean Ziska without any mention of a limited guardianship involvement, the dates and the establishment of the “emergency guardianship “ to continue with litigation, control , and manipulation of the administration of a limited-guardianship title does require the necessity of your review.

Again, I thank you for your ruling to establish the review of both the guardianship and the foreclosure cases and the necessity of rectifying the enormous amount of individual rights that have been violated over the years. The misuse of the “guardianship” to gain access to personal finances, the amount of untruths and manipulation concerning mental capacity and ability, to be used to harm reputations and gain control, and at the center of the exploitation to gain/ have access to: finances and property and harm instead of help. This entire experience and dealings over the years has been very unsettling, and hurtful. For people who are enlisted to help , yet hurt, for people who claim love and friendship yet ultimately through bullying, lies are enabled through the court system to harm and destroy the entire experience within the guardianship has been extremely destructive, damaging and devastating. To summarize, on page 2 of my initial letter: “ The guardianship has been treated as independent of any ruling through the foreclosure process and it is not independent or separate from any other court case and the involvement and dependence of each aspect of the guardianship of each and every decision of each and every case is currently and has been intertwined.” I thank you for your assistance in obtaining justice for me-Mary Jean Ziska (ward/defendant/pro-se litigant) by reviewing and investigating the guardianship/foreclosure rulings.

Thank you for your kind attention to this cover letter and the 29 pages E-Filed on February 17<sup>th</sup> 2017.

Sincerely,

Mary Jean Ziska ( Ward/Defendant/Pro-Se Litigant)  
Whisperwood Blvd. Unit 1601  
Naples Florida 34110